

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,252	01/09/2002	Tetsuro Yoshimoto	60188-141	1962	
20277 7590 12/28/2005 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			KOYAMA, KUMIKO C		
	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876	-	
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2003	DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. Applicant(s)	(m)	
10/030,252 YOSHIMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Kumiko C. Koyama 2876		

Delote the Filling of all Appear Difer	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kumiko C. Koyama	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
 b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in c	77 O1 1(41.57(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 14. Claim(s) objected to: 3. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-13 and 15-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A barbara and a data of CP as a N	- C C A 1 20	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance
See Continuation Sheet.			•
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	10(S).	
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
		MICHAEL G LEE	
		ÉRVISORY PATENT E ECHNOLOGY CENTER	

Application No. 10/030,252

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant submits that Dreifus in view of Kato does not teaches the recited claim limitation "state control means for halting the write and read processing on said buffer memory and said nonvolatile memory of said CPU." However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because Kato suggests that the access from the CPU to the data storage memory is halted, and such suggestion can be applied to Dreifus. Buffer memory and nonvolatile memory are data storage memories.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 1, 2, 4-13 and 15-17 remain rejected as set forth in the Final Office Action mailed September 08, 2005.